

CAO Results of Contemporary Architectural Offices / 2018

Neptun code	Selected Topic	Submission	Character	Mark	Comment	
1	GZW49F	MIXA / Ózd	31.05.2018.	7 172	2	The essay is summarized the story of the rehabilitation more or less well, but missing any deeper architectural analysis. The essay is too short.
2	LMBHL9					No submission.
3	PCHXCU	A+ / Visegrád	31.05.2018.	5 036	1	The essay does not accomplish the requirements (minimum 8 000 characters).
4	XZH48X	ARKT / Biennale	31.05.2018.	7 367	1	The essay is just mentioned the main topic, the Arkt project. Even the review of the other pavilion's exhibitions is just contains basic information. Plus the writing is full with irrelevant information. The essay is too short.
5	JQ2DNT					No submission.
6	JUCQBJ	MAKOVECZ / Own house	31.05.2018.	8 539	4	The analysis is sensitive and detailed. The theoretical background is interesting, but there are some difference between the Wright's and Makovecz's architecture. The comparison does not fit exactly. The sources of the information is missing.
7	CKFPO0	MARP / Szathmáry	28.05.2018.	8 421	5	Not perfectly fitted comparison. But the deep analysis - specially about materials - is very unique.
8	IMC8L0	V&L / Kőbánya	30.05.2018.	8 695	5	Deep analysis of the building with relevant and interesting comparison. Some photo's sources are not signed.
9	C4KY7N					No submission.
10	SEW20P					No submission.
11	CKCY00	CSOMA'S / Tanpo	31.05.2018.	7 862	5	This writing is understood the main goal of the project and reflects to it a very personal and theoretical way. It was thoughtful to read. Just go on this way!
12	OAILN6	MAKOVECZ / Own house	31.05.2018.	9 545	4	Well structured writing with well balanced informations. Deeper achitctural analysis and some own opinion are very missing to be perfect. Plus it would be better to keep a distance from the written sources.
13	OZ1356	MARP / Szathmáry	31.05.2018.	7 812	2	We have already discuss it.
14	ETNUF7	MAKOVECZ / Own house	28.05.2018.	10 992	4	The review of the building is very detailed, as well as the architect's life and work. The comparison is interesting, but too short. Deeper analysis is missig.
15	KDGJ2M	MIXA / Ózd	03.06.2018.	15 882	2	Very interesting comparison, that's a pity the projects are not analysis deeper architecturaly. Because of the three days late, three mark minus.
16	W98055	MIXA / Ózd	31.05.2018.	8 266	3	The essay collected of the factory's and the project's history, but missed to analyze the form a architectural point of view.
17	CLSMW9	A+ / Visegrád	31.05.2018.	9 323	1	The essay does not accomplish the requirements. This writing is not an essay, it is a list of information.
18	IPTRDX	CSOMA'S / Tanpo	18.05.2018.	10 183	4	Well structured essay with perfectly fitted comparison. But missing a deeper analysis about architecture and relations between the two projects, and more own opinion.
19	B93FXT	MAKOVECZ / Own house	30.05.2018.	13 226	5	The comparison is not really fitted, it would be better to find any better example, but the rest of it is very interesting. The analysis and the comparison is deep and detailed.
20	BTOPUN	MAKOVECZ / Own house	31.05.2018.	7 093	4	Real, deep analysis! Full of good realization and opinion. The self-made drawing is perfect. Only problem, it feels unfinished, and essay is too short. That's a pity.
21	PBJ787	MIXA / Ózd	31.05.2018.	5 071	1	The essay does not accomplish the requirements (minimum 8 000 characters).
22	BY99OR	MIXA / Ózd	31.05.2018.	10 306	3	The beginning of the essay is very interesting and theoretical. But the aim of the essay is to analysis the Ozd project and there are only a few word of about it. The comparison is also interesting, but the three examples are too much.
23	ALHKHD	ARKT / Biennale	31.05.2018.	10 720	1	The essay does not accomplish the requirements. This writing is not an essay, it is a list of information.
24	IVBQNA	CSOMA'S / Tanpo	30.05.2018.	13 744	5	Interesting, deep and personal analysis of the solar school project with good realizations. The comparison is absolutely relevant, but writing is becoming too long because of it. May be it can be skipped.
25	Z2QWMH	CSOMA'S / Tanpo	30.05.2018.	8 829	5	Very interesting and relevant comparison with deep analysis and good critical observations. Only one small comment, I think the main goal of Tanpo project is not based on sustainability, rather it is a social project. Nevertheless is was a pleasure to read.
26	XA539T	MAKOVECZ / Own house	30.05.2018.	11 330	3	The essay is more or less review the building, but a deeper analysis is missing. The written sources are not signed. The comparison is absolutely not fitted.
27	CDOR73	V&L / Kőbánya	21.05.2018.	8 111	5	Really good analysis with clear criticism and critical opinion. Nice explanatory sketches. It would be better to name the source of the student photos.
28	BMGK7X	A+ / Visegrád	30.05.2018.	7 466	1	The essay is more or less review the project, but it is based on too much on sources. Plus it is full with unremarkable texts. The sign of written sources are missing. The writing is too short.
29	FBTAGR	ARKT / Biennale	31.05.2018.	8 837	2	The review is more or less ok, but it misunderstood the point of the exhibition. Some of the text are irrelevant. The sources of the information is missing.
30	BSTVUN	CSOMA'S / Tanpo	31.05.2018.	8 916	3	The essay is review more or less the Tanpo project. But really missing any own opinion or architectural analysis.
31	XZDV13	CSOMA'S / Tanpo	31.05.2018.	8 263	4	The comparison is interesting, but not fit perfectly. The main goal of the Tanpo project is social intervencion, not sustainability. Nevertheless the other school project is interesting.
32	TV8H10					No submission.
33	H38JRC	MIXA / Ózd	30.05.2018.	10 740	5	The essay is summarized the story of the rehabilitation quite well. The analysis and the own opinions are good, but a little bit too short.
34	YRG6MW	MIXA / Ózd	31.05.2018.	7 666	4	The essay is a good analysis and summary of the project. The own opinions and viewpoint is also good, as well as the structure of the writing. Only problem is number of the characters, which is under the requirement.
35	EGXI0W					No submission.
36	ZV2RVC	CSOMA'S / Tanpo	24.05.2018.	7 721	4	It is a good review, but task was to write an analysis with own opinion. These are missing.
37	VAN0AX	CSOMA'S / Tanpo	23.05.2018.	8 107	4	Nice design, good structure and excellent source handling. But the essay is very much based on the sources. Own opinion, deeper analysis is really missing.
38	05KNA8	V&L / Kőbánya	24.05.2018.	8 490	3	Interesting writing about contemporary workplaces. But this building is not an office building, it is a sevice centre, where the locals can manage their administration. This is a misunderstanding.
39	GG59RI					No submission.
40	HQJMDT					No submission.
41	HIUNLG	A+ / Visegrád	23.05.2018.	9 003	4	Interesting comparison (which does not fit perfectly). Good review, but missing a deeper analysis, own opinion.
42	VCDSY5					No submission.
43	W5GJP4	CSOMA'S / Tanpo	16.05.2018.	8 871	4	Good structure and essay equipments. The writing is rather a review, than a critic. A deeper analysis is missing.
44	CQ6UUE					No submission.
45	IY3K0I	MIXA / Ózd	24.05.2018.	10 721	5	Very interesting and personal comparison with clear / analytical / critical view points. It was a pleasure to read.
46	GGX8XW	A+ / Visegrád	24.05.2018.	8 559	4	Interesting and relevant comparison. But missing a deeper analysis and opinion of the buildings. The essay is based too much on the sources.
47	Z4208R					No submission.
48	QSBAPB	CSOMA'S / Tanpo	24.05.2018.	6 099	1	The essay does not accomplish the requirements (minimum 8 000 characters). Plus the main goal of the project is social intervencion, than sustainable building. The comparrison is not really relevant.
49	QHSJLP	MAKOVECZ / Own house	24.05.2018.	6 460	1	The essay does not accomplish the requirements (minimum 8 000 characters). Plus the essay is unfinished. The sources of the information is missing.
50	F24UY1	V&L / Kőbánya	24.05.2018.	7 687	4	The middle part of the essay - the analytical analysis about old and new - is excellent. I would rather read about it much more. The comparison is not perfectly fitted, and was too short. Design and explanatory sketch is nice.
51	O319CG	MIXA / Ózd	24.05.2018.	8 311	4	Relevant and interesting comparison. But both projects were just reviewed, not analysed.
				355 442	3,30	

Topic 1	MIXA / Ózd	Analyse Györgyi Csontos's / MIXA Studio industrial rehabilitation project in Ózd. And compare it with a similar project from Your country (comparison is optional).
Topic 2	A+ / Visegrád	Analyse Anna Mária Tamás's + Krisztián Kovács-Andor / A+ Architects town rehabilitation project in Visegrad. And compare it with a similar project from Your country (comparison is optional).
Topic 3	ARKT / Biennale	Analyse Arkt Architects Studio's Hungarian Pavilion at the 15th International Architecture Exhibition – La Biennale di Venezia. And compare it with Your country's exhibition at the 15th Architecture Biennale (comparison is optional).
Topic 4	CSOMA'S / Tanpo	Analyse Csoma's Room Foundation's Tanpo Solar School project in the Himalaya. And compare it with a similar social project from Your country (comparison is optional).
Topic 5	MAKOVECZ / Own house	Analyse Imre Makovecz's own house. And compare it with a similar project from Your country (comparison is optional).
Topic 6	V&L / Kőbánya	Analyse Vikár & Lukács Architects Studio's Kőbánya Customer Service Center building. And compare it with a similar project from Your country (comparison is optional).
Topic 2017	MARP / Szathmáry	Review briefly the MARP architects and their works, analyse Szatmáry Palace project and compare it with a similar project from Your country.

Those students, who's essay have gotten 1 mark, they get signiture for this semester. Next year they could write the same topic again. They wil not have to come to the lectures, just submit a new essay with the same topic, that complies the requirements.

David Szabó / assistant lecturer
19. June 2018.